



Scotland's Dynamic Coast – The National Coastal Change Assessment



www.dynamiccoast.com

Dr. Alistair Rennie¹, Prof. Jim Hansom^{2,} Dr. James Fitton² (¹ SG / SNH , ² University of Glasgow)









Please click ...

Ctrl + L

to view full screen.





Driver for the research: We didn't have answers or evidence to these questions

Knowledge gap

"What is the extent and location of the eroding and potentially erodible coastline in Scotland?"

"What is the extent and rate of coastal change over time?"

"Where are the vulnerable areas of coast?"

"What social, economic and cultural heritage assets may be effected?"

• Policy implementation gap

"How can we use and improve the policy mechanisms to increase society's resilience."

"How can we maximize benefits with minimum costs"

...so what are the key policies?







Climate Change Act (2009)

Places a duty on Scottish Government to address the risks in UK CCRA via the Climate Change Adaptation Programme

"Clear leadership and clear duties!"

Flood Risk Management Act (2009)









What is expected ...

- rising sea level, more coastal erosion and associated increases in coastal flooding to increasingly affect Scotland's soft coastlines, its assets and its communities.
- maps of past erosion, current state and future erosion conditions are required.
- put in place Adaptive Measures for our natural & cultural heritage
- consider implications of coastal erosion for all of Scotland's assets





Scotland's National Coastal Change Assessment

December 2014 to March 2017

www.dynamiccoast.com

















SEPÂ

marinescotland



Scotland's centre of expertise for waters

(Steering Group)







- Compare geo-rectified historical and modern mapping, to allows past rates of change to be established
- The recent rates are projected forward to consider future implications
- Past ≠ Future? But it is least likely to be challenged legally
- This underpins Shoreline Management Plans (where they exist). But projected erosion only extends within erodible land.









- Compare geo-rectified historical and modern mapping, to allows past rates of change to be established
- The recent rates are projected forward to consider future implications
- Past ≠ Future? But it is least likely to be challenged legally
- This underpins Shoreline Management Plans (where they exist). But projected erosion only extends within erodible land.



University of Glasgow





- Compare geo-rectified historical and modern mapping, to allows past rates of change to be established
- The recent rates are projected forward to consider future implications
- Past ≠ Future? But it is least likely to be challenged legally
- This underpins Shoreline Management Plans (where they exist). But projected erosion only extends within erodible land.



Jniversity fGlasgow





- Compare geo-rectified historical and modern mapping, to allows past rates of change to be established
- The recent rates are projected forward to consider future implications
- Past ≠ Future? But it is least likely to be challenged legally
- This underpins Shoreline Management Plans (where they exist). But projected erosion only extends within erodible land.



Jniversity fGlasgow





- Compare geo-rectified historical and modern mapping, to allows past rates of change to be established
- The recent rates are projected forward to consider future implications
- Past ≠ Future? But it is least likely to be challenged legally
- This underpins Shoreline Management Plans (where they exist). But projected erosion only extends within erodible land.



Jniversity

Glasgow





- Compare geo-rectified historical and modern mapping, to allows past rates of change to be established
- The recent rates are projected forward to consider future implications
- Past ≠ Future? But it is least likely to be challenged legally
- This underpins Shoreline Management Plans (where they exist). But projected erosion only extends within erodible land.







- Compare geo-rectified historical and modern mapping, to allows past rates of change to be established
- The recent rates are projected forward to consider future implications
- Past ≠ Future? But it is least likely to be challenged legally
- This underpins Shoreline Management Plans (where they exist). But projected erosion only extends within erodible land.









Coastal Erosion Susceptibility Model

- 'inherently susceptible to erosion'
 - surface altitude,
 - rock head altitude,
 - coastal proximity,
 - wave exposure,
 - sediment supply.
- > Available on SEPA's website

http://map.sepa.org.uk/floodmap/map.htm

For more info see poster session



(SEPA's flood maps, Uni of Glasgow & SNH research. Funded by Crew)





Complexities

 Where is the soft coast? We checked all 21,000 km of shoreline, which is 11% of Europe's total.
78% Hard and Mixed
Coastline assessed within the NCCA 19% Soft
Artificial

30%

• Is the OS mapping right? We checked all 4,000 km of soft coast.

0%

10%

.... Some was out of date, but it has been re-flown. Progress continues 17% soft

40%

50%

60%

70%

80%

90%

100%

- We've used LiDAR to update MHWS, which will be incorporated within OS data.
- Terabytes of data analyzed to appreciate our dynamic coast at a level of detail never achieved before

20%

• 50 project partners ... 'all of society's interests'?





Results ... what format?

- Webmaps ... DynamicCoast.com
- Reports ... Cell Report detailing significant change
- Local Authorities, Government & Public Bodies' mapping systems





niversity

Glasgow





Angus Council SMP2

Results ... web map





Scottish Government Riaghaltas na h-Alba gov.scot Scottish Natural Heritage Dualchas Nàdair na h-Alba All of nature for all of Scotland Nådar air fad airson Alba air fad













Results: national								tline assessed hin the NCCA
(normalised for time period)			78% Hard and				I	19% 3% Soft Artificial
Generally: ¾ of soft coast is stable changes on the other ¼				Coastal Type				
	Retreated 8%	i		No Change 78%	1			Advanced 14%
				1890 – 1970 (Normalised)				
Since the 1970s: 39% 个 in extent of erosion	Retreated 12%			No Change 77%				Advanced 11%
22% \downarrow in extent of accretion				1970 - Modern				
Doubling of erosion & accretion rates	0% 10%	20%	30% 40	% 50%	60%	70%	80%	90% 100%

But:

National picture dilutes more significant changes and patterns







Results: national Coastline assessed within the NCCA 78% 19% 3% (normalised for time period) Artificial Hard and Mixed Soft **Coastal Type** Generally: ¾ of soft coast is stable Retreated Advanced No Change changes on the other ¼ 8% 78% 14% 1890 - 1970 (Normalised) Since the 1970s: Advanced Retreated No Change 39% \uparrow in extent of erosion 11% 12% 77% 22% \downarrow in extent of accretion 1970 - Modern Doubling of erosion & accretion rates 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

But:

National picture dilutes more significant changes and patterns







Results: regional / cells since 1970s

More enclosed cells: Surrounding rocky shores protect soft sections (soft coast stability: lots of grey)

More exposed cells: Soft sections less protected (soft coast mobility: less of grey)









Results: regional since 1970s

More enclosed cells: Surrounding rocky shores protect soft sections (soft coast stability: lots of grey)









Results: regional since 1970s

More enclosed cells: Surrounding rocky shores protect soft sections (soft coast stability: lots of grey)

More exposed cells: Soft sections less protected (soft coast mobility: less of grey)

Interpretation:

The natural protective function of the adjacent rocky shore influences soft coast mobility









Results: Vulnerability Assessment

What lies behind recently eroding areas?

- Where erosion > 10m and if recent erosion rates continues to 2050: **50 buildings**
- 5.2 km of roads
- 1.6 km of railway
- 2.4 km of water pipes
- ... expected to be eroded



Are the assets clustering together?







Heritage air na h-Alba arted University of Glasgow

Results: Vulnerability Assessment

What assets are coincident?

	Coincident assets / factors													
Assets etc at risk from erosion		Buidings	Roads	Rail	Fresh Water Network	Septic Water	Cultural Heritage	Natural Heritage	Flood risk	PVA	Examples			
Airports			-	-	-	-	-	ХХ	XXX	-	Islay & Benbecual Airport			
Buidings	-		-	-	Х	-	х	x	xxx	ХХ	Southeness (Solway)			
Roads	-			-	-	-	х	XX	xxx	-	Strone Point (Clyde), Monifieth (Tay), Balephetrish Bay (Tiree)			
Rail	-		-		-	-	-	-	XXX	-	Corpach (Loch Linnhe), Brora (Moray Firth)			
Fresh Water Network	-	х	XXX	-		-	х	-	XXX	ΧХ	Broughty Ferry (Tay), Toward (Clyde), Elie (Fife), Inellan (Clyde)			
Septic Water	-	XXX	ХХ	-	-		-	ХХ	XXX	XXX	Corpach (Loch Linnhe), Western Isles Orkney, Wemyss (Fife)			
Cultural Heritage	-	х	Х	-	-	-		ххх	ххх	ΧХ	Dysart, St Andrews & Wemyss (Fife), Dalmeny (Forth), Dunrobin (Moray)			
Natural Heritage	-	-	-	-	-	-	х		ххх	ХХ	Solway, Culbin Sands & Dornoch (Moray), Tiree,			
Flood risk	-	-	Х	-	-	-	х	XXX		Х	Solway, Uists, Culbin Sands & Golspie (Moray Firth), Barry Links (Tay)			
PVA	-	xxx	х	-	хх	-	х	ХХ	ххх		Southerness (Solway), Prestonpans (Forth), Broughty Ferry (Tay)			
Key - no coincidence xx often coincide				ent										
	х	som	ie co	incid	ence		XXX	high	coin	ncidence				







Results: Vulnerability Assessment

What lies behind recently eroding areas?

If recent erosion rates continues to 2050: 50 buildings 5.2 km of roads 1.6 km of railway 2.4 km of water pipes ... expected to be eroded

But...

Spatially limited analysis (only red bits) — No increase in rate No change in management No change due to climate change

Under estimate?

How is the distribution of erosion changing?







Scottish Natural Heritage Dualchas Nàdair na h-Alba All of nature for all of Scotland Nådar air fad airson Alba air fad



Results: regional change with time

More enclosed cells: Smaller changes in erosion and accretion (fewer arrows)

More exposed cells: Greater change in erosion and accretion (more arrows)







Scottish Natural Heritage Dualchas Nàdair na h-Alba All of nature for all of Scotland Nådar air fad airson Alba air fad



Results: regional change with time

More enclosed cells: Smaller changes in erosion and accretion (fewer arrows)











Results: regional change with time

More enclosed cells: Smaller changes in erosion and accretion (fewer arrows)

More exposed cells: Greater change in erosion and accretion (more arrows)

Interpretation:

The greatest changes since the 1970s is seen on exposed cells, with more modest changes within enclosed cells.









11%

35%

Results: Whole Coast Assessment	Within 50m of MHWS							
How many assets within 50m to the coast:		Unit		Coa	stal Ty			
Buffered the coast and intersected the assets.	Asset / Receptor		All	Hard & Mixed	Soft	Artificial	% in Soft	Erodable (UPSM40+)
30,000 buildings 1,500 septic water tanks	Community Services 78 48	48	20	10	26%	45		
1,300 km road	Non Residential Property		9,045	4,393	2,309	2,343	26%	5,101
100 km rail	Residential Prop	#	24,449	9,966	7,194	7,289	29%	15,276
	Septic Water Tanks		1,656	954	677	25	41%	769
35% are on soft coast	Utilities		312	137	80	95	26%	184
which makes up 19% of total coast	Rail		104	27	58	18	56%	61
	Roads	km	1,336	733	497	107	37%	590
	Clean Water Network		931	507	304	120	33%	452
5% of soft coast eroded more than 30m since	Cultural Heritage		1,029	471	438	120	43%	529
1970s.	Environment	ha	23,430	14,873	8,424	133	36%	8,615

Runway

Average





I-Alba Valoratish Natural Heritage Dualchas Nàdair na h-Alba Ndar air fad aison Alba air fad



2,000

30

Results: Whole Coast Assessment

How many assets close to the coast:

The distribution of assets can be considered

Interpretation:

East coast contains a lot of assets close to the coast

BUT: No asset type is immune and all cells have erodible assets







Conclusions from the results:

19% of Scotland's coastline is soft and has the potential to erode / 'erodible'

35% of coastal buildings and assets a located on this erodible soft coast

Since the 1970s:

- 77% of the soft coast has remained stable,
- 11% has accreted seawards and
- 12% has eroded landwards

Natural coastal defences are defending 88% of the soft coast.

Sustainable management of our natural capital is vital to protect essential services and economic growth in Scotland"

"Nature can help us cope with Climate change".





Conclusions from the results:

19% of Scotland's coastline is soft and has the potential to erode / 'erodible'

35% of coastal buildings and assets a located on this erodible soft coast

Since the 1970s:

- 77% of the soft coast has remained stable,
- 11% has accreted seawards and
- 12% has eroded landwards

But comparing the two time periods:

- 39% increase in extent of erosion
- 22% reduce in extent of accretion
- Average erosion rates have doubled.
- Average accretion rates have also increased.

Natural coastal defences are defending 88% of the soft coast.

Sustainable management of our natural capital is vital to protect essential services and economic growth in Scotland"

"Nature can help us cope with Climate change".

These observations are consistent climate change.

It is likely that....

"Climate change is effecting coastal erosion ... much like coastal flooding"





Conclusions for the future:

Projecting known erosion forward has identified all asset types are at risk.

But given the observed:

- current distribution of erosion,
- changes in extent of erosion,
- increase in rate of erosion...
- climate change impacts (including sea level rise) excluded from this analysis
- = more assets are likely to be at risk by 2050 as erosion quickens and expands into new areas.

Those coastal cells at greatest additional risk are:

- inherently more susceptible to erosion (higher % soft coast)
- have the more coastal assets
- have less natural resilience (protection due to geology but also due to defences & dredging)





Conclusions for the future:

Considering the climate change, there is a growing need for coastal erosion and flooding to be considered together. As both are anticipated worsen in the coming decades.

Given the observed changes a window of opportunity now exists to plan, mitigate and adapt in advance to avoid widespread unnecessary harm and cost. Cross sector and integrated adaptation and mitigation planning is now required.

To hear if and how Scotland can deliver the required adaptation

.... Please see Jim's presentation.





On behalf of

Jim Hansom, James Fitton & the NCCA Steering Committee



Thanks for listening

Questions ?

Our thanks for the support given by our steering committee and partner organisations.

Steering Committee:

Debi Garft Alan Corbett Kat Ball Alistair Cargill Mairi Davies Nicholas Williamson Tom Dawson Tracy McCollin Martyn Cox Duncan Moss Scottish Government Scottish Government SEPA SEPA HES Fife Council SCAPE Marine Scotland Scottish Government Ordnance Survey

Jannette MacDonald C Emily Hastings C

CREW CREW

www.dynamiccoast.com